


## DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
2 NAVY ANNEX
WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

BJG

Docket No: 6327-00 12 October 2000





This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code, section 1552. You requested an upgrade of your reenlistment code of RE-4 (not eligible for reenlistment without prior approval of the Chief of Naval Personnel). You contend that you were "depressed and stressed out from trying to do more than [you] could."

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 4 October 2000. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. In this connection, the Board found your naval record reflects that you entered active duty on 28 January 1998; that you were diagnosed with an adjustment disorder and consequently recommended for separation; that you were discharged on 11 March 1998 by reason of personality disorder; that you had an entry level separation (ELS), meaning that you were discharged within 180 days of your entry on active duty; and that you received the RE-4 code appropriate for an ELS. They were unable to find your ELS was not warranted. In view of the above, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official

records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

W. DEAN PFEIFFER Executive Director